# **Artykuly**

Jerzy Ostapczuk<sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7659-3936 Rocznik Teologiczny LXVI – z. 1/2024 s. 7-25 DOI: 10.36124/rt.2024.01



# Prefaces to the Gospels by Blessed Theophylact, Archbishop of Bulgaria, in early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv<sup>2</sup>

Przedmowy do Ewangelii bł. Teofilakta Bułgarskiego w cyrylickich starych drukach Ewangelii tetr ze Lwowa

**Key words:** Preface, Blessed Theophylact of Bulgaria, early printed book, Tetraevangelion, Lviv, textual study

**Słowa kluczowe:** Przedmowa, Teofilakt Bułgarski, stary druk, tetraewangelia, Lwów, tekstologia

### **Abstract**

This article is devoted to the textual study of the Prefaces to the Gospels by Blessed Theophylact, Archbishop of Bulgaria, in early printed Cyrillic liturgical Tetraevangelia published in Lviv. Analysis of these four short texts preceding each Gospel proves that all the Tetraevangelia from Lviv had been subject to minor editing, most obvious in the editions published by Mykhailo Slozka. All textual variants that are characteristic to the Tetraevangelia from Lviv are presented in this article.

 $<sup>^{\,\,1}\,\,</sup>$  Dr hab. Jerzy Ostapczuk, Faculty of Theology, Christian Theological Academy in Warsaw.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This publication is an updated version of the article published in Polish in journal *Rocznik Teologiczny* 60 (2), 2018: 155–173.

### Streszczenie

Artykuł został poświęcony badaniom tekstologicznym Przedmów do Ewangelii autorstwa bł. Teofilakta Bułgarskiego w cyrylickich starych drukach ze Lwowa. Analiza tych czterech krótkich tekstów poprzedzających każdą Ewangelię potwierdza, że wszystkie Ewangelie tetr ze Lwowa zostały poddane niewielkiej redakcji, która jest najwyraźniej widoczna w wydaniach opublikowanych przez Michała Ślozkę. Wszystkie warianty tekstologiczne charakterystyczne dla wydań lwowskich zostały przedstawione w publikacji.

The Lviv Tetraevangelia make up less than 10 per cent of the total 111 early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia.<sup>3</sup> Between the years 1512 (when the Church Slavonic text of all four Gospel books was first published in a single volume) and 1800, it was just eight times that liturgical Tetraevangelia had been issued in Lviv in Cyrillic types.<sup>4</sup> The first edition was published in that city in 1636, the last in 1743. In the meantime, they were published there six more times in the following years: 1644, 1665, 1670, 1690, 1704, and 1722.

While the number of early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv is not significant, their value for the study of the history of Cyrillic printing, biblical or liturgical studies is immense. This is evidenced by several facts.

The Gospels issued at the Printing Houses of the Lviv Dormition Brotherhood, established in 1586 (Zubrzycki 1836, 9; Kawecka-Gryczowa, Korotajowa, Wojciech 1960, 201) and of Mykhailo Slozka (operating independently in 1638-1667) are referred to as "розкішне Євангеліе" (Sičyns 'kyj 1925, 13). They feature an elaborate graphic design, which increased their typographical value and most probably also their market appeal. The first Lviv Tetraevangelion from the Confraternity workshop (1636) contains 56 illustrations to the Gospel text (made of 49

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For an updated list of all early printed Cyrillic liturgical Tetraevangelia, see Ostapczuk, Dzierżanowska 2023, 465–514.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For more about the number of Lviv Gospel editions, see Bondar 2002, 42–51; Ostapczuk 2017a, 181–189.

woodblocks {Ostapczuk 2017b, 140}),<sup>5</sup> while the 1665 edition from the printing house of Mykhailo Slozka features 18 illustrations (printed using 15 woodblocks), 14 of which are full page width (Ostapczuk 2017b, 143).

The Church Slavonic translation of the Good News in the Lviv Gospel editions provides textual variants that are not attested (in the same places) in other early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia (eg. дъва<sup>6</sup> – оба; прълюбъі творити – прълюбъі дъети; скопити – исказити; динарь - пъназь; проказа – прокажение; послъдовати – въ слъдъ ити) (Ostapczuk 2016, 282-283; 2017b, 144-145; 2017c, 362-363). Some of them may reflect an earlier manuscript tradition as the result of textual efforts on the Good News. Evidence that such an endeavour took place in Lviv is provided by the 5.5-page list of textual variants attested in both Cyrillic and Greek sources. This list was appended at the end of the first Lviv Tetraevangelion. It was preceded by the following text: объснена исправленій съ идводы старогреческими, м'естъ не шбрътающих са: въ стом  $6v^{r}$ лїи словенскій идданій. на инъхъ же мъстехъ идбывающій, наже не шбрътаются въ гречески: и в толкованіи стато Деффілакта. не **Ж**МЪННА ЖЕ ЗДЪ СЪСТОАТСА: ВНИМАТЕЛНЪ ВСЪМЪ РАДСЎДИТЕЛНАА, СЪ ЛЮБОВЇЮ прїємлющымъ.7

Early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv are also a valuable source of information for study of Orthodox liturgy. They attest information about Gospel readings and religious services not indicated in other Church Slavonic Gospel editions. The readings over the deceased between the Feast of the Holy Resurrection and the Sunday of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The second Lviv Tetraevangelion (dated 1644) features 61 thematic illustrations printed using 51 woodblocks (Ostapczuk 2017b, 143).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Textual readings of the Lviv Tetraevangelia are listed first, while variants attested in the other Gospel editions are listed second.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  Information on the first five textual variants will be given as an example: Зачало,  $\vec{\epsilon}$ . И нарече (ем&) в грецкомъ, (его)  $\vec{\epsilon}$ . Сиръчъ: нареченное прежде аггломъ; Зачало,  $\vec{\epsilon}$ . Тои вы wkp $^6$ титъ д $\vec{\chi}$ омъ стымъ (и огнем) не было, зд $\vec{\tau}$  естъ; Зачало,  $\vec{\delta}$ . Иди за ма з грецка ( $\vec{w}$ иди) сатано; Зачало,  $\vec{\delta}$ . И водва а, тыже чти (а); Зачало,  $\vec{\epsilon}$ . Слышасте нако реченно бы $\vec{\epsilon}$  (первымъ) не было, т $\vec{\delta}$  естъ;  $\vec{\delta}$ :  $\vec{\delta}$ . Аще та кто оударитъ в десн $\vec{\delta}$ 0 (твою) не было, зд $\vec{\tau}$ 6 естъ.

Blessed Thomas (се же преставленными на сватое воскресение до недели фомины), during the ceremony of *adelphopoiesis*, or spiritual brotherhood (ви братотворение), or during the second sacrament of marriage (двобрачными) (Ostapczuk 2015, 114; 2017b, 147) serve as examples.

The textual study of the Preface to the Gospel of Matthew<sup>8</sup> by Blessed Theophylact of Bulgaria also confirmed the presence of textual variants that are specific only to early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv, е.д. предословине<sup>9</sup> – предисловине; смысла доуха стаго – смысла доуха; наоучаета – пооучаета; почитаета июдеи – почиваета июдеи; чъдиши см.<sup>10</sup> – дивиши см.

As both the Church Slavonic text of the Good News in Lviv editions, which had previously been revised and edited by the publishers, and the Preface to the Gospel of Matthew by Blessed Theophylact of Bulgaria, feature textual variants not attested in the other Tetraevangelia analysed (Moscow, Kyiv, Vilnius, Middle Bulgarian or Serbian), it is fair to assume that a similar situation also applies to the Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact to the remaining three books of the Good News. The overall aim of this paper is to textually analyse all four Prefaces by Blessed Theophylact of Bulgaria to the Gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John in eight early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv. The structure of the Prefaces, including their titles, incitipts and explicits (i.e. desinits), omissions and additions to the text, as well as textual variants attested only in the eight Tetraevangelia printed in Lviv (in 1636,1644, 1665, 1670, 1690, 1704, 1722 and 1743) will be studied in depth and discussed in this article. This will allow for a textual characterisation of four relatively short texts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This study also explored another text that immediately precedes the Gospel book, the Life of St Matthew according to St Sophronius, which appeared only in one (the youngest) of the Lviv Tetraevangelia, issued in 1743.

<sup>9</sup> Textual readings of the Lviv Tetraevangelia are listed first, while variants attested in the other Gospel editions are listed second.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 10}~$  This variant has been attested only in two Tetraevangelia from Mykhailo Slozka's workshop.

found in various sections of the Tetraevangelia and occurring in all the sources compared, and for approval or rejection of the earlier research outcomes and assumptions.

The sources used for comparison were early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia issued in Târgovişte (in 1512), Rujno (in 1537), Sibiu (in 1546), Belgrade (in 1552), Braşov (in 1561/62), Mrkšina Crkva (in 1562), Vilnius (in 1575, 1600, c. 1620 and 1644), Alba Iulia (in 1579), Braşov or Sebeş (in 1579 and 1583), Saint John's Monastery near Bucharest (in 1582 and after 1582), Kyiv (in 1697, 1712, 1733, 1746, 1759, 1771, 1773 and 1784), Pochaiv (in 1759, 1768, 1771 and twice in 1780 [1° and 2°]), Klintsy (in 1786) and Moscow (43 editions). Thus, together with the Lviv ones, this study explored 80 (out of a total of 111) early printed Cyrillic liturgical Tetraevangelia, which represents almost 75 percent of the whole source material. Unfortunately, the study did not include one 16th-century Tetraevangelian from Sibiu (dated 1551-1553) and 30 Moscow Tetraevangelia.

Written in the Greek language in the 11<sup>th</sup> century by the eminent Byzantine theologian Blessed Theophylact of Bulgaria, Archbishop of Ohrid, the Prefaces to respective Gospel books began to appear in Church Slavonic Good News codices rather late, i.e. not until the 14<sup>th</sup> century (Dogramadzhieva 1993, 11; Varpio 2005, 63; Nemirovskij 2008, 486). While there are Cyrillic handwritten Tetraevangelia from the 16<sup>th</sup> century without Prefaces attested (Dogramadzhieva 1993, 11), there is not a single early printed Cyrillic Gospel edition, not even from the 16<sup>th</sup> century, without the Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> These are editions from the following years: 1553/54 (so-called уэкошрифтное), 1558/59 (so-called среднешрифтное), 1563/64 (so-called широкошрифтное), 1606, 1617, 1627, 1628, 1637, 1640, 1644, 1648, 1651, 1657, 1663, 1668, 1677, 1681, 1685, 1688, 1689, 1694, 1703, 1716, 1717, 1744, 1745, 1748 (March), 1753, 1757, 1762, 1771 (January and May), 1774, 1775 (January), 1779 (June and August), 1784, 1785, 1786 (November), 1789 (August and November), 1791 and 1796.

From the Slavo-Romanian Tetraevangelion issued in Sibiu (1551–1553), only a part of the Gospel of Matthew is extant in the present day (Guseva 2003, 25 {no. 1}).

All the early printed Cyrillic liturgical Tetraevangelia issued in Lviv feature Prefaces by Blessed Theophylact of Bulgaria<sup>13</sup> and they are preceded by the following titles:<sup>14</sup>

Matthew: предословине еже отъ матфена стаго ечагелина написаное

Ѿ стаго Деффілакта, архіспіпа болгарскаго;

Mark: предословине еже отъ марка стаго еvа елина; 15 Luke: предословине еже отъ лоуки стаго еvа елина; John: предословине еже отъ гоанна стаго еvа елина.

It is worth noting that all the Lviv Tetraevangelia, <sup>16</sup> except for the last one issued in 1743, have the noun предословине in place of the first word of the title attested in most of the discussed Tetraevangelia, i.e. предисловине.

While in the vast majority<sup>17</sup> of early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia analysed, the title of the first Preface is: Деофилакта архиепископа волгарскаго предисловине еже отъ матфена стаго еvа елина, in the Lviv Tetraevangelia it reads as follows: предословине еже отъ матфена стаго еvа елина написаное w стаго Деофилакта, архиеп па болгарскаго. So, in all the Lviv Gospel editions (including the last one issued in 1743), the first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> It is noteworthy that of the other texts preceding the individual books of the Good News (e.g. the Lives of the Evangelists according to St Sophronius, Blessed Jerome, Hieromartyr Dorotheus the Bishop of Tyre), included in the early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from 1657 onwards, only the Lviv Tetraevangelion of 1743 contains one "Life", i.e. that of the Evangelist Matthew according to Sophronius. The lives of the other Evangelists in this edition had been omitted.

 $<sup>^{14}\,\,</sup>$  The same titles of the Prefaces can be found in the fourth Vilnius Gospel edition issued in 1644.

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  The variant  $\epsilon$ varreane is only attested in the Lviv Tetraevangelion of 1670, as well as in several Middle Bulgarian and Serbian ones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The variant предословине has also been attested in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia of 1697 and 1712.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The title of the Preface to the Gospel of Matthew in most of the Tetraevangelia under consideration reads: (1). Деофилакта архиепископа болгарскаго (2). предисловине еже отъ матфена стаго ечаггелина. Minor variants have been found in Tetraevangelia from Braşov (1561/1562) and St John's Monastery near Bucharest, as well as in the some from Kyiv and Pochaiv.

The incipits of the four Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact to the respective Gospel books in the Lviv Tetraevangelia read as follows:<sup>18</sup>

Matthew: иже оубо 19 прежде дакона они вожественнии 20 м 8 жие не

писанми и книгами просвъщах у са;21

Mark:  $e \times e^{22}$  отъ марка стое  $e^{\Gamma}$ лине по десатих  $\mathbb{Z}^{23}$  лътъх христова

въднесениња;

Luke: лоука божественный антиохиганинх оубо<sup>24</sup> бт родомх врачь

же хитростию и вившнеи $^{25}$  премоудрости многъ; $^{26}$ 

John: наже<sup>27</sup> доуха сила въ немощехъ совершаетъ са накоже писано

есть и въроуемъ.

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$  The same incipits are also found in the fourth Vilnius Gospel edition printed in 1644.

<sup>19</sup> The textual variant attested in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia is иже въша.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The reading attested in the first Cyrillic Tetraevangelion from Brașov and two editions from St John's Monastery near Bucharest is ตัวเน.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The following textual variants are attested for the expression προεκτιμαχδ см: оучими въхж (in the first Cyrillic Tetraevangelion from Braşov and two editions from St. John's Monastery near Bucharest), наставлаχδ см (in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia) and поδчаχδ см (in the Kyiv Gospel edition of 1746 and the first three Pochaiv Tetraevangelia {1759, 1768 and 1771}).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The word exe does not appear in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia.

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  The textual variant десати is only attested in the later Kyiv and Pochaiv Tetraevangelia.

Some early Middle Bulgarian and Serbian Tetraevangelia attest the variant 50 oy50, while the  $16^{th}$  century Moscow ones feature the reading 50. Only the first Lviv (and the fourth Vilnius) Tetraevangelion omits the word 0950.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The Middle Bulgarian and Serbian Tetraevangelia, as well as the 1759 Kyiv Gospel edition and the two Pochaiv ones (issued in 1780) attest the variant въ вънчынеи.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> The later Middle Bulgarian Tetraevangelia and the Lviv Gospel edition issued in 1704 attest the variant много.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The word каже was omitted in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia and the two Pochaiv Gospel editions (dated 1780). The word иже appears instead of каже in the Lviv Tetraevangelion issued in 1665 and the 1771 Pochaiv Gospel Tetraevangelion edition.

A comparison of the incipits of the Lviv Gospel editions with the incipits of other early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia has confirmed that the Lviv ones feature some unusual textual variants.

It is only in the Tetraevangelia issued by Mykhailo Slozka (dated 1644 and 1665) that the Preface to the Gospel of Luke includes the added adjective еллинской after the noun премоудрости. Of all the Lviv editions, only in the last Tetraevangelion (dated 1743) does the fourth Preface feature a textual variant въ немощи attested in all the other early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia in place of the expression въ немощесть.

Based on the aforementioned incipits, it is reasonable to conclude that both the Lviv and all the other early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia under consideration contain a second, South Slavic, revision of the Prefaces by Blessed Theophylact.<sup>28</sup>

The explicits of the four Prefaces to the respective Gospel books in the Lviv Tetraevangelia read as follows:<sup>29</sup>

Matthew: 30 начынъмъ же $^{31}$  оуже начало $^{32}$  книги сице $^{33}$  книга родства

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Cf. the first translation of Theophylact's Prefaces to the Gospel of Matthew begins with the words: первие оубо закона они божествении м&жи, ни грамотою ни книгами оучахоу са, но чистоу им&ци мыкль (Vostokov 1842, 183; Dogramadzhieva 1993, 11; Varpio 2005, 63; Evangelie ot Matfeâ... 2005, 172–175).

The same incipits are found in the fourth Vilnius Gospel edition printed in 1644.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> The explicit in the first Cyrillic Tetraevangelion from Braşov and two editions from St John's Monastery near Bucharest begins with the words книга родства, as it omits a section starting with the words како давида есть ўс (after which the following text и в'врова хв<sup>5</sup>ы и продр'в и. на дане чювестьвно б'в са нимь. и нав'в слышааше и др'в егw ва плати. сего ради не рече виденіе еже вид'в . си р'вчь продр'вуь. на что is added to книги сице).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 31}~$  The Tetraevangelion from Rujno (1537) and the first two Kyiv ones do not include the particle  $\varkappa\varepsilon.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> The πογάλο variant is attested in the Middle Bulgarian (except the first from Braşov and two from Bucharest) and Serbian Tetraevangelia, as well as in Moscow ones up to 1639 and the first three issued in Vilnius. The μάγαλο variant is attested in the Lviv Tetraevangelia, the Moscow ones issued after 1640, as well as in the Kyiv and the Pochaiv Gospel editions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The Moscow Tetraevangelion issued in 1553/54 features сине.

 $\vec{\mathsf{lc}}^{34}\, \vec{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{a}^{35}\, \mathsf{cha}\, \mathsf{a} \vec{\mathsf{b}} \mathsf{a} \mathsf{b}$ 

 $Mark:^{37}$  слыши оубо что рече дачало е $^{r}$ лина і $^{c}$   $^{38}$   $^{r}$  $^{39}$ 

Luke: и достоин $\mathbf{z}^{40}$  есть по истин $\mathbf{t}$  слышати стаго еуаггелию;  $\mathbf{z}^{41}$ 

John: да начнем $\mathbf{x}^{42}$  оуже $^{43}$  и $^{44}$  глаголом $\mathbf{x}^{45}$  раз $\mathbf{x}$ гноутие $^{46}$  в $\mathbf{x}$  начал $\mathbf{x}^{47}$  б $\mathbf{x}$  слово и слово б $\mathbf{x}$  оу б $\mathbf{x}$  и б $\mathbf{x}$  о б $\mathbf{x}$  слово.

34 The last Lviv Gospel edition has เห็น.

The  $\vec{\kappa}$  variant was also attested in the Moscow Tetraevangelia printed up to 1651 and the Vilnius issued in c. 1620 and 1644. Other editions attest textual variants  $\vec{\kappa}$  or  $\vec{\kappa}$ .

The  $\chi p^2$ TA variant was confirmed in five Lviv Tetraevangelia printed in 1665, 1690, 1704, 1722 and 1743.

The variants x̄βa and xρ̄<sup>c</sup>τa were also attested in some Gospel editions.

- <sup>36</sup> The words ต์ผ дбдва were sometimes omitted, e.g. in the first Moscow Tetraevangelion (1553/54), those of Braşov (1561/1562) and Sebeş (1579 and 1583), Bucharest (1582 and after 1582), as well as the two Vilnius ones (1575 and 1600) and the first two published in Kyiv.
- 37 The explicit in the Middle Bulgarian and the Serbian Tetraevangelia also includes the words оба вожина. In the Sibiu edition of 1546, the text of the Preface to the Gospel of Mark ends with the words от д марка еблине имать авово лице.
- <sup>38</sup> Two Lviv Tetraevangelia of Mykhailo Slozka (1644 and 1665) feature the variant ίκα, while the edition issued in 1722 confirms ίκα.

The variant เร็ was attested in the Moscow Tetraevangelia until 1648. The Middle Bulgarian, Serbian and Vilnius Gospel editions feature the form เy, while the Moscow Tetraevangelia of 1617 and 1651 as well as the one published in Klintsy in 1768 the form เรื่อ. The variant เห็รล is attested in the Moscow editions from 1657 onwards, the Kyiv and Pochaiv ones.

- The variant  $\chi \vec{a}$  is attested by Lviv Tetraevangelia issued in 1636, 1665 and 1670, while  $\chi \rho^{\sigma}$  as Lviv editions published in 1644, 1690, 1704, 1722 and 1743. The form  $\chi \vec{a}$  was also found in some Tetraevangelia.
  - 40 Some later Middle Bulgarian Tetraevangelia attest the variant достоино.
- 41 Some later Middle Bulgarian Tetraevangelia attest the variant стฉักง evaпาелина, and some late Kyiv and Pochaiv ones feature the variant cтое evaïe.
  - <sup>42</sup> The first two Kyiv Gospel editions attest the variant да начнет са.
  - <sup>43</sup> Other variants attested as well were же and иже.
  - <sup>44</sup> The conjunction does not appear in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia.
  - <sup>45</sup> The first two Kyiv Gospel edition add the adjective ev лекимъ.
  - 46 The noun разъгноутие ends the Preface in the first two Kyiv Tetraevangelia.
  - <sup>47</sup> Middle Bulgarian and Serbian Gospel editions attest the variant въ начало.
- <sup>48</sup> The words и слово въ оу вба и ббъ въ слово do not appear in the last Lviv Tetraevangelion (1743).

A comparison of the desinits of the Prefaces in the Lviv Gospel editions with those in other early printed Cyrillic liturgical Tetraevangelia has evidenced that the Lviv ones feature several textual variants – many more than in the case of the incipits. Two of them concern the spelling of the proper name Jesus Christ as either indeclinable or declinable,<sup>49</sup> with one or two vowels i (I and II) and a single or double consonant c.

Only the first and second Preface of the youngest Lviv Tetraevangelion (dated 1743) feature a different form of the noun Jesus (IIĒ-A) with a double vowel i (I and II), introduced in Moscow in the year 1657. A different form of this noun, but with one i (I), is also attested in the second Preface in three earlier Gospel editions – two printed by Mykhailo Slozka (1644 and 1665) and one issued by the Confraternity (1722). In the latter, the declinable noun Jesus has a double consonant c (IECA).

In the first and second Preface in the Lviv Tetraevangelia of 1636,<sup>50</sup> 1644 (only the first Preface), 1665 (only the second Preface) and 1670, the noun Christ was written in the abbreviated form χ̄a, which could be read as χρικτοβα (under *titlo*: χ̄βα οτ χρίκτοβα) οτ χρικτα (under *titlo*: χρίκτα). The former form predominated in the Tetraevangelia published between 1512 and 1651, while the latter one in the Gospel editions issued from 1657 onwards.<sup>51</sup> The fact that introducing the form χρικτα (written in the abbreviated form χρίκτα)<sup>52</sup> to the Tetraevangelia printed by Mykhailo Slozka (and later popularised by the Moscow Tetraevangelion of 1657) prevented a dual interpretation of the abbreviated noun Christ (χ̄a = χρίτα οτ χ̄βα) was probably the reason for its gradual implementation later in the Lviv Gospel editions (of 1665 {only the first Preface}, 1690

Attested variants are the following additions и เลอยอ ธาช เห่น ซีซ์ (Rujno {1537}) or и เลอยอ ธาช เห่น ซีซ์ เลอยอ (the Bucharest Gospel editions {1582 and after 1582}).

 $<sup>^{49}~</sup>$  The Greek text includes a singular form in the genitive case (  $\,{}^{'}$  Ihooû Xριστοῦ).

 $<sup>^{50}\,\,</sup>$  Also, in the fourth Vilnius Tetraevangelion (1644).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 51}~$  It is the first Moscow Tetraevangelion is sued after the reform of Patriarch Nikon took place.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> This variant is also attested in Moscow Tetraevangelia from 1657 onwards as well as in Kyiv and Pochaiv Gospel editions.

and 1704, 1722 and 1743). The potential for a double interpretation of the word Christ written in an abbreviated form, i.e. under the *titlo* ( $\vec{\chi}$ a as  $\chi$ puctoba or  $\chi$ pucta), was thus avoided.

The final two words  $\Dota{Ha}$  д $\Dota{Ha}$  do not appear in the first Preface to just one Lviv Tetraevangelion of  $1704.^{53}$ 

Regarding the third Preface, it is noteworthy that in all the Moscow, Kyiv and Pochaiv Tetraevangelia it concludes with a different explicit, i.e. тако сего ради роди са господь тако да естество и законъ осватитъ. This is due to the fact that in these very Gospel editions the Preface to the Gospel of Luke is extended by an additional passage that starts with the words тридесатольтенъ оубо сыи господь кр<sup>с</sup>ти са and ends with тако да естество и законъ осватитъ. Apart from the Lviv Tetraevangelia, including the youngest one issued in 1743, the shorter form of the third Preface is also attested in all the Middle Bulgarian and Serbian as well as Vilnius Gospel editions.

Three of the four Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact present in the early printed liturgical Tetraevangelia issued in Lviv omit major portions of the text.

After the words cen же от пророка начат и иманна and before слыши оубо что рече зачало ейлина in the Preface to the Gospel of Mark, the text that starts with тым же нъцыи аще и испытнъишть and ends with начинает оубо марко ейлине от предтечева пребыванина и показанина is missing entirely. The absence of this text is also attested in the Vilnius Tetraevangelia, the Moscow Gospel editions issued after 1657, as well as the Kyiv and Pochaiv ones.

These two words were also omitted in the following Tetraevangelia: the first Moscow one (1553/54), the ones from Sebeş (from 1579 and 1583) and Bucharest (1582 and after 1582), two Vilnius ones (1575 and 1600) and the first two Kyiv editions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> And two Bucharest ones (1582 and after 1582) as well.

After the words иже и достоинт есть по истинть слышати ста́го еvaггелина in the Preface to the Gospel of Luke, the text that starts with тридесатольтент оубо сыи господь кр<sup>6</sup>ти са and ends with нако сего ради роди са господь нако да естество и законт осватитт is missing. The absence of this passage of the Preface is attested in Middle Bulgarian, Serbian and Vilnius Tetraevangelia.

The Preface to the Gospel of John does not include the text that starts with люблаше же са паче всъхъ оученикъ юаннъ and ends with се бо рече мати твою и понеже сиа сице имоутъ after the words по тридесатихъ и двою лътъхъ хба възнесению and before the words да начнемъ оуже глаголомъ разъгноутие. Its absence is attested in the Vilnius and Pochaiv Tetraevangelia.

A text-critical study of four Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact present in early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia revealed a small number (just 10) of textual variants that are specific exclusively to the Lviv Tetraevangelia<sup>55</sup> (apart from the youngest one from 1743). These include the following readings:

1) Preface to the Gospel of Matthew

смысл $^{56}$  : смысл $^{56}$ 

егда глаголеть  $\underline{\vec{\Gamma}_b}$  не боите са  $\phantom{\hat{\Gamma}_b}$  : егда глаголеть не боите са

егда <u>пооучаетъ</u> : егда <u>наоучаетъ</u>

иманих же <u>бгословеснъчшии</u> : иманих же <u>бгословнъчшии</u> одо жетъеми : почитаетъ одо июдеи : почитаетъ одо июдеи

2) Preface to the Gospel of Mark

бъ оубо сеи <u>марко петроу</u> : бъ оубо сеи <u>стый марко петровъ</u>

оученикъ оученикъ

3) Preface to the Gospel of Luke

егда Гь нашь оучаше : егда Гь наоучаше

<sup>55</sup> And the last, i.e. the fourth, Vilnius Tetraevangelion issued in 1644.

The variants attested in most Tetraevangelia are provided in the left-hand column, while the variants attested in Lviv Gospel editions are presented in the right-hand column.

и павел $\mathbb Z$  рече  $\underline{\kappa} \underline{\mathsf Z}$  игемоноу : и павел $\mathbb Z$  рече игемоноу

4) Preface to the Gospel of John

паче же от $\overline{z}$  иже о великом $\overline{z}$  бгослов $\overline{z}$  : паче же о великом $\overline{z}$  бгослов $\overline{z}$  огда же глаголет $\overline{z}$  са стыи : ест $\overline{z}$  же глаголет $\overline{z}$  са стыи сен

A far greater number of textual variants (30) is attested in the four Prefaces of two early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia issued by Mykhailo Slozka, i.e. the first, dated 1644, prepared by him at the request of the Brotherhood,<sup>59</sup> and the second, dated 1665. These include the following textual variants:

1) Preface to the Gospel of Matthew

 не хранациих
 : не хранациих

 что дивиши са
 : что ч8диши са

тако аще <u>по всемоу</u> единогласовали быша : накоаще<u>посемоу</u> единогласовали

БЫША

2) Preface to the Gospel of Mark

егоже и сына своего именоуетъ петръ : added text: въ послани своемъ

первомъ

нарицаше са иманих анепсеи : note in the margin сйовецх

НЪ И ПАВЛОУ <u>СЪИСХОДЬНЪ</u> : НЪ И ПАВЛОУ <u>СОШЕСТВЕННИКЪ</u>
НЕ ТЬЧИЮ <u>БЕ</u> <u>ПИСАНИЊ</u> ПРОПОВЪДАТИ : НЕ ТЬЧИЮ <u>СЛОВЕСЫ ПР</u>ОПОВЪДАТИ

повиноувъ са <u>съписа</u> : повиноувъ са <u>списати</u>

нако марко списа благовъстине : нако марко оуже списа

БЛАГОВЪСТИѤ

идвъствовавъ тако истинно : идвъствовавъ тако истинно  $\underline{\text{ест}}$  проповъдавъ въ аледандрии : проповъдавъ  $\underline{\text{пре}}$ ложи  $\underline{\text{еv}}^{\underline{\text{f}}}$ ле

<u>се</u> въ але́зандрии

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it 57}$  Except for the Lviv Gospel editions published by Mykhailo Slozka.

 $<sup>^{58}</sup>$  Another attested variant is глаголета же са. Sometimes with the addition of: и то накw иманна от же нако.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Regarding the conditions of Mykhailo Slozka's activities in 1643-1651, see Šustova 2009, 206–207.

есть же начертанию е<sup>©</sup>салию <u>навствынно</u>

приблидь же по вьсъм $\mathbf{z}^{60}$  мадеови

3) Preface to the Gospel of Luke

и отъ мертвыхъ <u>въставшоу</u> и павлоу въровавшоу быти

съществена

и последователа томоу глю павлоу

: есть же <u>се</u> начертание е $\hat{v}$ алию <u>навстве</u><sup> $\hat{u}$ </sup> нъ съкращенно

: የጃгласнъ же по вьсъмъ

: и отъ мертвыхъ <u>въставша</u>

: и павлоу въровавшоу быти

съпутшественна

: added text: О нем же въ посланихъ его. послахомь (рече) сиимъ брата. егоже слава естъ въ ес<sup>к</sup>ли по всъхъ црквахъ. и къколассаемъ. целбетъ вылъка врачъ възлюбленныи. и къ тімодею. лъка естъ единъ съ мною

по пати на десатих а летехъ христова вознесению пишетъ же

: added text: เเต อง โกเต พื่ ลก โาพกร идвъщение приямъ. дъжны же стыхъ ап โาพกร самовидецъ съ павлим сыи

и павели рече (ки) игемоноу 4) Preface to the Gospel of John

паче же <u>отъ иже</u> о великомъ

**БГОСЛОВЪ** 

сеи бо рыбара Ѿца бъ

: и павелъ <u>иногда</u> рече игемоноу

: паче же о великомъ

<u>шанна вгослова</u>

сен бо рыбара  $\overline{w}$ ца  $\underline{b}$ аше  $\underline{3}$ еведеа and added text:  $\underline{b}$ рат же іакwва  $\underline{a}$ п $^{\varepsilon}$ ла ( $\underline{e}$ гоже ирwд $\underline{z}$  по  $\underline{c}$ ту и

воднесении гн<sup>с</sup>и оусткиУ)

ничтоже <u>о превъчнеми</u> его бытии : ничтоже <u>о предвъчноми</u> его

вытии

Other attested textual readings are по высъхъ ог и не во всемъ.

да некогда нецыи доле плежоущии

: да некогда нъцыи <u>Ѿ накаданіи</u> <u>евvонитшвъ</u> долъ плъжоущии

въпльщение $^{61}$  слова $^{62}$ 

: ВЪПЛЬЩЕНИЕ СЛОВА

невоспоманоуто остави

не въспоману, то истави

накож $\mathfrak{e}^{63}$  нъцыи ръша $^{64}$  <u>нако молиша</u>

: (ракоже напар ец<sub>е</sub>прі олиолена вріва ец<sub>е</sub>прі

,

его православнии

иже 🛱 асіи православными

 $\frac{1}{1}$  Навльшими са $^{66}$  <u>ичкиими</u> етерикоми

: <u>противу</u> навльшимъ са етерикомъ

 $\mathfrak{e}$ гда ж $\mathfrak{e}$  глаголетъ са $^{67}$  стый

: естъ же и ина вина сего егда бо

иных $\mathbf{z}$  еуггелист $\mathbf{z}^{68}$  прочет $\mathbf{z}^{69}$ 

(глаголетъ са) стый <u>сеи</u>

подивити са 70 тамо

: иныхъ еуггелистъ <u>блговъстіл</u> прочетъ

оудивити са ему тамо

сиа же семоу $^{71}$  распростр $^{72}$ 

: сид же семоу распростръти бгословиъ и изъснити

изнаснити $^{73}$ 

<sup>61</sup> An attested variant is o воплощении.

<sup>62</sup> An attested variant is слово.

<sup>63</sup> Attested variants are ыко and глютъ же.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Attested variants are писано бо е<sup>©</sup> and же глаголютъ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> An attested variant is понеже.

<sup>66</sup> An attested variant is навиша са.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> An attested variant is глаголетъ же см. Sometimes with the addition of: и то юк и то юк и то юк юк от же юко.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> An attested variant is егда й иныхъ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> An attested variant is прочте.

<sup>70</sup> Attested variants are подиви са and оудиви са.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> An attested variant is onz.

<sup>72</sup> An attested variant is распростре.

<sup>73</sup> An attested variant is изысни.

списа въ патмъ островъ $^{74}$  : послъди всъхъ є $\mathbf{v}^{\underline{c}}$ лист

заточенъ пребываа списа въ патит островъ

ЗАТОЧЕНЪ ТАМО ПРЕБЫВАА

по тридесатихх<sup>75</sup> : <u>си есть</u> по тридесатихх

и двою $^{76}$  летехх $^{77}$  и двою летехх

The difference in the number of textual variants in the four Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact present in the early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv proves that these texts in the Gospel editions from the Printing House of the Lviv Dormition Brotherhood had been only slightly edited, in contrast to those in issues from Mykhailo Slozka's workshop, which had been edited and supplemented with short passages not attested in the other Cyrillic Tetraevangelia under consideration.

### Conclusion

The results of this text-critical examination of the four Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact to the Gospel books present in early printed Cyrillic liturgical Tetraevangelia issued in Lviv support the outcomes of the previous studies of several small fragments of the Good News text<sup>78</sup> and the liturgical rubrics<sup>79</sup> of the pre-1800 Cyrillic Gospel editions. The Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact feature an, admittedly, small number (only 10) of textual variants specific only to all early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv, with the exception of the youngest Lviv one (dated 1743) in some cases. The presence of unusual textual variants (30) only in two Lviv Gospel editions from the workshop of Mykhailo

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> An attested variant is да съпишеть евангліє и съписа.

 $<sup>^{75}</sup>$   $\,$  The following variants have been attested: тридесати, тридесать and  $\vec{\lambda}$  тихъ.

<sup>76</sup> The following variants have been attested: двою, двоу, и двъ, и двех and б.

The following variants have been attested: ATTZ, ATTT and ATT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> I.e. Matthew 9:1-8 and 18-26; 18:23-25; 19:3-12, 24:34-44 and 25:14-30 as well as Mark 1:1-2:22 (Ostapczuk 2016, 275–286; 2017c, 357–367).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Ostapczuk 2015, 105–120.

Slozka (printed in 1644 and 1665) evidence the possibility of classifying them as a separate subgroup.

Studies of the four Prefaces of Blessed Theophylact have also confirmed that the last, i. e. fourth, Vilnius Tetraevangelion issued in 1644 follows the Gospel textual tradition developed in Lviv.

Translation: Marta Brudny

### Literature

- Bondar, Nataliâ. 2002. "Z dosvidu atribuciï kiriličnih vidan 'Nacional 'noï Biblioteki Ukraïni imeni V. I. Vernads 'kogo: mifične l' vivs 'ke Êvangeliê 1683 r. drukarni L' vivs 'kogo bratstva." *Rukopisna ta knižkova spadŝina Ukra*ïni 7: 42–51.
- Dogramadzhieva, Ekaterina. 1993. "S´´stav na slavânskite r´´kopisi četveroevangeliâ." *Palaeobulgarica* 17 (2): 3–21.
- Evangelie ot Matfeâ v slavânskoj tradicii (=Novum Testamentum Palaeoslovenice II). Sankt-Petersburg 2005: Rossijskoe Biblejskoe Obŝestvo.
- Guseva, Aleksandra Aleekseevna. 2003. *Izdaniâ kirillovskogo šrifta vtoroj poloviny XVI veka. Svodnyj katalog.* Moskva: Nauka.
- Kawecka-Gryczowa, Aloida, i Krystyna Korotajowa, Wojciech Krajewski. 1960. *Drukarze dawnej Polski, od XV do XVIII wieku (zeszyt 6): Małopolska Ziemie Ruskie* (=Drukarze Dawnej Polski od XV do XVIII wieku, T. 10). Wrocław / Kraków: Wydawnictwo Polskiej Akademii Nauk.
- Nemirovskij, Evgenij Lvovič. 2008. *Načalo knigopečataniâ v Valahii* (Načalo slavânskogo kirillovskogo knigopečataniâ XV načala XVII veka, T. III). Moskva: Nauka.
- Ostapczuk, Jerzy. 2015. "Ewangelie czytane na wszelką potrzebę, różnorodne w cyrylickich starych drukach tetraewangelii." W Zbrojne i ideologiczne kontakty w dawnym piśmiennictwie Słowian i ich echa w nowszej kulturze (Krakowsko-Wileńskie Studia

- Slawistyczne, T. 11). Red. Marzanna Kuczyńska i Jan Stradomski, 105–120, Kraków: Scriptum.
- Ostapczuk, Jerzy. 2016. "Evangelie ot Matfeâ v staropečatnyh izdaniâh četveroevangelij: predvaritel 'nye zamečaniâ." W *Sovremennyie problemy archeografii*. Vypusk 2. Sost. Maria Vladimirovna Krogodina, 275–286, Sankt Peterburg: Biblioteka Rossijskoj akademii nauk.
- Ostapczuk, Jerzy. 2017a. "O liczbie cyrylickich starych druków tetraewangelii ze Lwowa." *Elpis* 19: 181–189.
- Ostapczuk, Jerzy. 2017b. "Stare druki tetraewangelii lwowskich i ich miejsce wśród innych cyrylickich ksiąg z tekstem Dobrej Nowiny." W *Ośrodki kultury dawnej Słowiańszczyzny i ich znaczenie dziejowe* (=Krakowsko-Wileńskie Studia Slawistyczne, T. 12). Red. Marzanna Kuczyńska i Jan Stradomski, 129–148, Kraków: Scriptum.
- Ostapczuk, Jerzy. 2017c. "Evangelie ot Marka v staropečatnyh izdaniáh bogoslužebnyh četveroevagelij." W *Slavânskaâ Bibliâ v èpohu rannego knigopečataniâ. K 510-letiû sozdanâ biblejskogo sbornika Matfeâ Desâtogo.* Otv. red. Anatolij Alekseev, 357–367. Sank-Peterburg: Institut russkoj literatury (Puškinskij Dom) RAN / Biblioteka Rossijskoj akademii nauk.
- Ostapczuk, Jerzy, and Barbara Dzierżanowska. 2023. "Early Printed Editions of Cyrillic Liturgical Tetraevangelia. A Catalogue." *Rocznik Teologiczny* 65 (3): 465–514.
- Sičyns'kyj, Volodymyr. 1925. *Arhitektura v starodrukah (Zbirky Nacioal'nogo Muzeâ u L'vovi*). L'viv: Nacional'nyi Muzei u Lvovi.
- Šustova, Ûliâ Èduardovna. 2009. *Dokumenty L'vovskogo uspenskogo sta-vropigijskogo Bratstva (1586-1788)*. *Istočnikovedčeskoe issledovanie* (=Rossiâ i Hristianskij Vostok. Biblioteka, Vyp. 8). Moskva: Rukopisnye Pamâtniki Drevnej Rusi.

- Zubrzycki, Dynizy. 1836. *Historyczne badania o drukarniach rusko-słowiańskich w Galicyi*. Lwów: W drukarni Instytutu Stauropigialnego.
- Varpio, Maria. 2005. Evangelium Cyrillicum Gothoburgense. A Codicological, Paleographical, Textual and Linguistic Study of a Church Slavonic Tetraevangel (Lunds Slavonic Monographs 9). Lund: Lund University.
- Vostokov, Aleksandr. 1842. *Opisanie russkih'' i slovenskih'' rukopisej Rumâncevskogo Muzeuma*. Sanktpeterburg: Tipografiâ Imperatorskoj Akademii Nauk.

## CHRZEŚCIJAŃSKA AKADEMIA TEOLOGICZNA w WARSZAWIE

Rok LXVI Zeszyt 1

# ROCZNIK TEOLOGICZNY

WARSZAWA 2024

### REDAGUJE KOLEGIUM

dr hab. Jakub Slawik, prof. ChAT – redaktor naczelny dr hab. Jerzy Ostapczuk, prof. ChAT – zastępca redaktora naczelnego prof. dr hab. Tadeusz J. Zieliński dr hab. Borys Przedpełski, prof. ChAT dr hab. Jerzy Sojka, prof. ChAT – sekretarz redakcji

### MIĘDZYNARODOWA RADA NAUKOWA

JE metropolita prof. dr hab. Sawa (Michał Hrycuniak), ChAT abp prof. dr hab. Jerzy Pańkowski, ChAT bp prof. ucz. dr hab. Marcin Hintz, ChAT prof. dr hab. Atanolij Aleksiejew, Państwowy Uniwersytet w Petersburgu prof. dr Marcello Garzaniti, Uniwersytet we Florencji prof. dr hab. Michael Meyer-Blanck, Uniwersytet w Bonn prof. dr hab. Antoni Mironowicz, Uniwersytet w Białymstoku prof. dr hab. Wiesław Przyczyna, Uniwersytet Papieski Jana Pawła II w Krakowie prof. dr hab. Eugeniusz Sakowicz, Uniwersytet Kardynała Stefana Wyszyńskiego w Warszawie

prof. dr hab. Tadeusz Stegner, Uniwersytet Gdański prof. dr Urs von Arx, Uniwersytet w Bernie prof. dr hab. Piotr Wilczek, Uniwersytet Warszawski

> Redakcja językowa – Kalina Wojciechowska Korekta tekstów angielskich – Karen Wasilewska Skład komputerowy – Jerzy Sojka

BWHEBB, BWHEBL, BWTRANSH [Hebrew]; BWGRKL, BWGRKN, and BWGRKI [Greek]
PostScript\* Type 1 and TrueType fonts Copyright ©1994-2013 BibleWorks, LLC.
All rights reserved. These Biblical Greek and Hebrew fonts are used with permission
and are from BibleWorks (www.bibleworks.com)

ISSN 0239-2550

Wydano nakładem **Wydawnictwa Naukowego ChA**T

ul. Broniewskiego 48, 01-771 Warszawa, tel. +48 22 635-68-55 Objętość ark. wyd.: 15,9. Nakład: 100 egz. Druk: druk-24h.com.pl ul. Zwycięstwa 10, 15-703 Białystok

## Spis treści

Artykuły
JERZY OSTAPCZUK, Prefaces to the Gospels by Blessed Theophylact, Archbishop of Bulgaria, in early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv
SERHII SHUMYLO, The Participation of Athonite Monks in church and cultural processes in the 17th century Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth territories
Grzegorz Siupa, Koncepcja Bożej obecności w rozumieniu zielonoświątkowego Ruchu Trzeciej Fali na przykładzie nauczania Benny'ego Hinna53
Jerzy Sojka, The question of the Presence of Christ in the Lord's Supper in Lutheran World Federation publications 1947-201075
Agata Wiktoria Ern, Diakrytyczne cechy eschatologii pentekostalnej 125
Sławomir P. Markowski, Teologiczne założenia programu ogrodu maria- witów w Felicjanowie
Recenzje
Meyer-Blanck, Michael. 2024. Glaube und Hass: Antisemitismus im Christentum. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, ss. 338. ISBN 978-3-16-162327-1 (JAKUB SLAWIK)
Kronika
Konferencja pt. "Cmentarze w Polsce – stan obecny i perspektywy zmian" (25 października 2023 r.) (Tadeusz J. Zieliński)
Międzynarodowa konferencja naukowa Wydziału Prawa i Administracji Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego oraz Chrześcijańskiej Akademii Teologicznej w Warszawie pt. "Aktualne problemy stosunków wyznaniowych oraz laickości państwa w Polsce w kontekście międzynarodowym" (15 kwietnia 2023 r.) (Tadeusz J. Zieliński)201

# **Contents**

Jerzy Ostapczuk, Prefaces to the Gospels by Blessed Theophylact, Archbishop of Bulgaria, in early printed Cyrillic Tetraevangelia from Lviv	7
SERHII SHUMYLO, The Participation of Athonite Monks in church and cultural processes in the 17th century Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth territories	27
GRZEGORZ SIUPA, The concept of God's presence as understood by the Pentecostal Third Wave Movement as exemplified in the teaching of Benny Hinn	53
Jerzy Sojka, The question of the Presence of Christ in the Lord's Sup Lutheran World Federation publications 1947-2010	•
AGATA WIKTORIA ERN, Diacritical features of pentecostal eschatolog	y 125
Sławomir P. Markowski, Theological assumptions of the programm the Mariavite garden in Felicjanów	
Reviews	
Meyer-Blanck, Michael. 2024. Glaube und Hass: Antisemitismus im G stentum. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, pp. 338. ISBN 978-3-16-16232 (JAKUB SLAWIK)	7-1
Chronicle	
Conference entitled "Cemeteries in Poland - current status and outloochange" (October 25, 2023) (Tadeusz J. Zieliński)	v
International scientific conference of the Faculty of Law and Adminis of the University of Warsaw and the Christian Theological Acader in Warsaw entitled. "Current problems of religious relations and secularism in Poland in the international context" (April 15, 2023)	my state 3)
(Tadeusz J. Zieliński)	
List of authors	204

# Wykaz autorów

- Jerzy Ostapczuk, j.ostapczuk@chat.edu.pl, Chrześcijańska Akademia Teologiczna w Warszawie, ul. Władysława Broniewskiego 48, 01-771 Warszawa
- **Serhii Shumylo,** institute@afon.org.ua, Institute of History of Ukraine, Natoinal Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 126 Velyka Vasylkivska St. of. 1, Kyiv, Ukraine 02151.
- **Grzegorz Siupa,** gsiupa@student.chat.edu.pl, Chrześcijańska Akademia Teologiczna w Warszawie, ul. Władysława Broniewskiego 48, 01-771 Warszawa
- **Jerzy Sojka**, j.sojka@chat.edu.pl, Chrześcijańska Akademia Teologiczna w Warszawie, ul. Władysława Broniewskiego 48, 01-771 Warszawa
- **Agata Wiktoria Ern,** asmierzynska@student.chat.edu.pl, Chrześcijańska Akademia Teologiczna w Warszawie, ul. Władysława Broniewskiego 48, 01-771 Warszawa
- **Sławomir P. Markowski,** slawomirmarkowski@op.pl, Chrześcijańska Akademia Teologiczna w Warszawie, ul. Władysława Broniewskiego 48, 01-771 Warszawa